	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/034,316	TSUKAMOTO, HISASHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susy N Tsang-Foster	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not includ will be mailed in due	ed course, THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment filed</u>	on 5/3/2004.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 2.3,5-8,10-12,17-23 and 25-65			
3. \square The drawings filed on $___$ are accepted by the Examine	r.		
4.	been received. been received in Application Nocuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply. IENT of this application. bitted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declarate to be submitted. bit be submitted. bit be submitted. con's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the Comment of t	complying with the re SAMENDMENT or Nation is deficient. 948) attached Office action of the last in the front (not the last). nust be submitted.	quirements NOTICE OF
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P		O-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 		
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8), 7. Examiner's Amenda	nent/Comment	

Ausy Isan Foster

of Biological Material

3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),

9. Other _____.

8. X Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/034,316

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 2-3, 5-8, 10-12, 17-23, and 25-65 are allowed.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to independent claims 26 and 29 and claims respectively depending therefrom, the closest prior art of record, JP 10-012272 A, does not disclose, teach, or suggest the distinguishing feature of perfluoro-1,3-dimethylcyclohexane or C15F33N as the flame retardant material in the electrolyte containing system.

With respect to independent claims 17 and claims depending therefrom, the closest prior art of record, JP 10-012272 A does not disclose, teach, or suggest the additional distinguishing steps of first filling the casing at least partially with a nonaqueous electrolyte solution, waiting a period of time sufficient for the non-aqueous electrolyte solution to penetrate one or more pores of the electrode assembly, and then adding the flame retardant material that is a liquid at room temperature and pressure and substantially immiscible in the nonaqueous electrolyte solution. In contrast, JP 10-012272 A discloses pouring a nonaqueous electrolyte solution along with the flame retardant material in one step into the battery casing (see paragraph 42).

As stated above, during the personal interview conducted on 12/2/2003, applicant's representative gave a power point presentation which showed a significant difference in battery performance with respect to the order in which the electrolyte solution or flame retardant is added where adding electrolyte solution first gives a higher discharge capacity and lower

Application/Control Number: 10/034,316

Art Unit: 1745

resistance and adding flame retardant first that is immiscible with the nonaqueous electrolyte solution gives lower discharge capacity and higher resistance. In view of these unexpected results, method claim 17 and claims depending therefrom are allowed.

With respect to claim 20 and claims depending therefrom, the closest prior art of record, JP 10-012272 A does not disclose, teach, or suggest the distinguishing feature that the flame retardant material is not substantially in the region of the separator. The reference is silent about this feature and it does not appear to be inherent in the reference since the battery in the reference is made by a different method than that disclosed and claimed in claim 17 in the present application. As explained to the Examiner during the interview on 12/2/2003, the nonaqueous electrolyte solvent is first poured into the battery comprising the electrodes and separator and that the solvent permeates the pores of the separator and then the flame retardant added afterwards would not be substantially in the separator region because the flame retardant is immiscible with the nonaqueous electrolyte solvent.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to examiner Susy Tsang-Foster, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at (571) 272-1292.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

St Aug Isang Loster

Susy Tsang-Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745